

Prediction of energy dissipation in violent sloshing flows simulated by Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics

Calderon J., Marrone S., Martinez J., Antuono M., Colagrossi A.



Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain CNR-INM, Rome Italy









- Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) in brief
- SPH for sloshing flows and its current limitations
- A new SPH model for the SLOWD sloshing test case
- Energy balance and analysis of the fluid dissipation mechanisms
- Conclusions and perspectives







SPH for fluids

Meshless character: a fluid described through a set of unconnected fluid blobs called "particles" (small fluid volumes)

Lagrangian character: each blob is followed in the flow according to its own motion obeying fluid dynamics equations

Hamiltonian character: essential conservation properties (of mass, momentum, energy) are guaranteed











Positioning with respect to other numerical methods for free-surface flows:

	Eulerian	Lagrangian
	(Interface Capturing/tracking) (Level Set, VOF, MAC, CIP)	
Mesh Based	FD Method, FEM, FVM	Particle in Cell (PIC) P-FEM
Meshless	FEM based on Integral Interpolation, RKPM	SPH, MPS)







Mathematical model: Lagrangian formulation of N-S equations for a barotropic fluid

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{D}\rho}{\mathrm{D}t} = -\rho \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{u}) \\\\ \rho \frac{\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{u}}{\mathrm{D}t} = -\nabla p + \operatorname{div} \mathbb{V} + \rho \boldsymbol{g} \\\\ \frac{\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{r}}{\mathrm{D}t} = \boldsymbol{u}, \qquad p = F(\rho) \end{cases}$$

- Single phase approximation (only the liquid phase is modelled)
- Surface tension is neglected
- Liquid is modelled as a

Weakly-compressible medium (Ma<0.1)

 \rightarrow Linearized state equation

$$p = c_0^2 \left(\rho - \rho_0 \right)$$





Standard discrete SPH equations

$$\frac{D\rho_i}{Dt} = -\rho_i \sum_j \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} (\boldsymbol{u}_j - \boldsymbol{u}_i) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij}$$
Differential operators are computed as a sum of particle interactions weighted through a Kernel function \boldsymbol{W}

$$\frac{D\boldsymbol{u}_i}{Dt} = -\sum_j \frac{m_j}{\rho_i \rho_j} (p_j + p_i) \nabla_i W_{ij} + \mu \sum_j \frac{m_j}{\rho_i \rho_j} \pi_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} + \boldsymbol{g}$$

$$\frac{D\boldsymbol{r}_i}{Dt} = \boldsymbol{u}_i(t), \quad p = c_0^2 (\rho - \rho_0)$$



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.



Advanced model $\delta\text{-LES-SPH}$: SPH with LES filtering for turbulence modelling

$$\begin{cases} \frac{D\rho_i}{Dt} = -\rho_i \sum_j (\boldsymbol{u}_j - \boldsymbol{u}_i) \cdot \nabla_i W(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}) V_j + \sum_j \nu_{ij}^{\delta} \mathcal{D}_{ij} \cdot \nabla_i W(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}) V_j, \\ \frac{D\boldsymbol{u}_i}{Dt} = \boldsymbol{g}_i - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \sum_j (p_i + p_j) \nabla_i W(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}) V_j + \sum_j (\nu_i + \nu_{ij}^T) \pi_{ij} \nabla_i W(\boldsymbol{r}_{ij}) V_j, \\ \frac{D\boldsymbol{r}_i}{Dt} = \boldsymbol{u}_i, p_i = c_0^2 (\rho_i - \rho_0) \end{cases}$$

Classical Smagorinsky closure is adopted: $v_T = (C_S \sigma)^2 \|\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}\|,$

"Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics method from a large eddy simulation perspective." Di Mascio et al. Physics of Fluids (2017)



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.



This model is particularly effective in simulating free-surface flows



research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.



SPH for sloshing flows and its current limitations

SPH has been thoroughly validated on simulation of sloshing flows





Numerical and experimental investigation of nonlinear shallow water sloshing. ouscasse et al International Journal of Nonlinear

Bouscasse et al . International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation, 14(2), 2013



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.



SPH for sloshing flows and its current limitations

However, for very high vertical accelerations, intense negative pressure develops and "**numerical cavitation**" occurs:





SPH for sloshing flows and its current limitations

However, for very high vertical accelerations, intense negative pressure develops and "**numerical cavitation**" occurs:







A new SPH model

1~

 δ -LES-SPH model rewritten in an **Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian** framework (ALE)



Particles motion is made **quasi-Lagrangian** in order to keep particles uniformly distributed

"SPH accuracy improvement through the combination of a quasi-Lagrangian shifting transport velocity and consistent ALE formalisms." Oger et al. Journal of Computational Physics 2016



SL©

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\rho_i}{dt} &= -\tilde{\rho}_i \sum_j \left[(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j) - (\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i) \right] \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j + \\ &\sum_j (\tilde{\rho}_j \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \tilde{\rho}_i \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j + \sum_j \delta_{ij} \, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ji} \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j \\ \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \sum_j P_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j + \frac{\rho_0}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \, K \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \, \pi_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j + \\ &\frac{\rho_0}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \sum_j \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j \otimes \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \otimes \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \right) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j \\ \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i}{dt} &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \,, \qquad \tilde{p}_i = F(\tilde{\rho}_i) \,, \qquad V_i = \frac{m_i}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \,, \end{split}$$

A new SPH model



Motion is corrected through a Particle Shifting Technique

N

$$\delta \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_i = -M_a \, \ell \, c_0 \, \sum_j \left[1 + R \left(\frac{W_{ij}}{W(\Delta x)} \right)^n \right]
abla_i W_{ij} V_j$$



$$\begin{split} \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_i}{dt} &= -\tilde{\rho}_i \sum_j \left[\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j \right) - \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \right) \right] \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j + \\ \sum_j \left(\tilde{\rho}_j \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \tilde{\rho}_i \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \right) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j + \sum_j \delta_{ij} \psi_{ji} \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j \\ \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \sum_j P_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j + \frac{\rho_0}{\tilde{\rho}_i} K \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \pi_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j + \\ \frac{\rho_0}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \sum_j \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j \otimes \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \otimes \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \right) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j \\ \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i}{dt} &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \qquad \tilde{\rho}_i = F(\tilde{\rho}_i) , \qquad V_i = \frac{m_i}{\tilde{\rho}_i} , \end{split}$$



SLO

A new SPH model



LES-Model for weakly-compressible SPH

SLOWD

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\mu}{\rho_0} + 2 \frac{\nu_i^T \nu_j^T}{\nu_i^T + \nu_j^T} \qquad \delta_{ij} = 2 \frac{\nu_i^\delta \nu_j^\delta}{\nu_i^\delta + \nu_j^\delta}$$
$$\nu_i^T = (C_S \ell)^2 \|\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_i\| \qquad \nu_i^\delta = (C_\delta \ell)^2 \|\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_i\|$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_i}{dt} &= -\tilde{\rho}_i \sum_j \left[(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j) - (\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i) \right] \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j + \\ &\sum_j (\tilde{\rho}_j \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \tilde{\rho}_i \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j + \left[\sum_j \delta_{ij} \, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ji} \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j \right] \\ \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \sum_j P_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j + \left[\frac{\rho_0}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \, K \, \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \, \pi_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j \right] + \\ &\frac{\rho_0}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \sum_j \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j \otimes \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \otimes \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \right) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} \, V_j \end{split}$$



SLOWD

A new SPH model

**** ****

- Accuracy has been improved
- Tensile instability has been removed
- Numerical dissipation has been reduced
- The evaluation of energy dissipation terms is more complex due to the new particles interaction terms

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_i}{dt} &= -\tilde{\rho}_i \sum_j \left[(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j) - (\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i) \right] \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j + \\ \sum_j (\tilde{\rho}_j \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \tilde{\rho}_i \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j + \sum_j \delta_{ij} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{ji} \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j \\ \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \sum_j P_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j + \frac{\rho_0}{\tilde{\rho}_i} K \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \pi_{ij} \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j + \\ \frac{\rho_0}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \sum_j (\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j \otimes \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_j + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \otimes \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i) \cdot \nabla_i W_{ij} V_j \\ \frac{d\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i}{dt} &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i + \delta \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_i \,, \qquad \tilde{p}_i = F(\tilde{\rho}_i) \,, \qquad V_i = \frac{m_i}{\tilde{\rho}_i} \,, \end{split}$$



SLOWD

Solutions without Tensile Instability







Salvatore Marrone, Danilo Durante, Andrea Colagrossi

SLOWD M6 MEETING – ROME (IT) – 11/02/20



Benchmarking



Analytical law of the tank motion

$$a(t) = a_0 e^{\lambda t} \cos(\omega t)$$







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.



A **simplified liquid meniscus** at wall is added

 \rightarrow initial flow instability is triggered

Flow evolution





0.3

0.4 t(s)

0.2

0.1

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.

SL

SLOWD

Flow evolution







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.

0.3

0.4 t(s)

0.2

0.1

-8

0



Flow evolution







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.

0.3

0.4 t(s)

0.2

0.1

-8

0





Power related to external forces

> Time derivative of the fluid **mechanical** energy

Time derivative of reversible compressible energy

$$\mathcal{P}_C := -\int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{u}) dV$$

 \rightarrow generally negligible

to laminar and turbulent viscous dissipation

Power related to numerical dissipation

0

A. Colagrossi, B. Bouscasse, S. Marrone, Energy decomposition analysis for viscous free-surface flows, Phys. Rev. E, Vol. 92, pp. 053003-13, (2015).





Dependency on the Frame of Reference of the simulation:

$$-\mathcal{P}_{ext} + \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{M} + \dot{\mathcal{E}}_{C} = \mathcal{P}_{V} + \mathcal{P}_{V}^{turb} + \mathcal{P}_{N} \leq 0$$
Invariant for rigid motions of the F.o.R.





















This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.







Purely dissipative terms



SLOWD

Energy budget













SLOWD

Flow evolution









Conclusions

- the SPH model has been applied to a violent sloshing flow in pure heave motion
- standard SPH schemes exhibit tensile instability issues due to intense negative pressure in the first acceleration stage
- a new SPH model has been proposed to eliminate this issue and increase accuracy
- closure of the different energy terms has been shown for different choices of the frame of reference
- most of the energy drops seem related to impact stage
- an in-depth validation is still needed due to the complexity of the problem







Thanks for your attention



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.







The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 815044.

This document and all information contained herein is the sole property of the SLOWD Consortium or the company referred to in the slides. It may contain information subject to Intellectual Property Rights. No Intellectual Property Rights are granted by the delivery of this document or the disclosure of its content.

Reproduction or circulation of this document to any third party is prohibited without the written consent of the author(s).

The statements made herein do not necessarily have the consent or agreement of the SLOWD consortium and represent the opinion and findings of the author(s).

The dissemination and confidentiality rules as defined in the Consortium agreement apply to this document.

All rights reserved